Statement of Verification

BREG EN EPD No.: 000125 Issue 06

This is to verify that the

Environmental Product Declaration

provided by:

CARES

BRE Global Verified EPD

is in accordance with the requirements of:

EN 15804:2012+A2:2019

and

BRE Global Scheme Document SD207

This declaration is for:

Carbon Steel Reinforcing Bar (secondary production route - scrap), Sector Average

Company Address

Pembroke House
21 Pembroke Road
Sevenoaks
Kent TN13 1XR

CARES SUSTAINABILITY

UKAS PRODUCT CERTIFICATION 0002

Signed for BRE Global Ltd	Emma Baker Operator	29 September 2023 Date of this Issue
01 December 2016 Date of First Issue		28 September 2026 Expiry Date

BRE Global Verified EPD

BRE Global Ltd., Garston, Watford WD25 9XX.
T: +44 (0)333 321 8811 F: +44 (0)1923 664603 E: Enquiries@breglobal.com

ECO PLATFORM EPD VERIFIED

Environmental Product Declaration

EPD Number: 000125

General Information

EPD Programme Operator	Applicable Product Category Rules
BRE Global Watford, Herts WD25 9XX United Kingdom	BRE Environmental Profiles 2013 Product Category Rules for Type III environmental product declaration of construction products to EN 15804+A2 PN 514 Rev 3.0
Commissioner of LCA study	**LCA consultant/Tool**
CARES Pembroke House 21 Pembroke Road Sevenoaks Kent, TN13 1XR UK	CARES EPD Tool SPHERA SOLUTIONS UK LIMITED The Innovation Centre Warwick Technology Park Gallows Hill, Warwick Warwickshire CV34 6UW www.sphera.com
Declared/Functional Unit	**Applicability/Coverage**
1 tonne of carbon steel reinforcing bars manufactured by the secondary (scrap-based) production route as used within concrete structures for a commercial building.	Sector Average based on production weighted average of CARES members manufacturing carbon steel reinforcing bars by the secondary (scrap-based) production route with Electric Arc Furnace.
EPD Type	**Background database**
Cradle to Gate with options	GaBi

Demonstration of Verification
CEN standard EN 15804 serves as the core PCR [a]
Independent verification of the declaration and data according to EN ISO 14025:2010 ☐Internal ☒ External
(Where appropriate [b])Third party verifier: Pat Hermon
a: Product category rules b: Optional for business-to-business communication; mandatory for business-to-consumer communication (see EN ISO 14025:2010, 9.4)

Comparability
Environmental product declarations from different programmes may not be comparable if not compliant with EN 15804:2012+A2:2019. Comparability is further dependent on the specific product category rules, system boundaries and allocations, and background data sources. See Clause 5.3 of EN 15804:2012+A2:2019 for further guidance

Information modules covered

Product			Construction		Use stage							End-of-life				Benefits and loads beyond the system boundary
					Related to the building fabric					Related to the building						
A1	A2	A3	A4	A5	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5	B6	B7	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
Raw materials supply	Transport	Manufacturing	Transport to site	Construction – Installation	Use	Maintenance	Repair	Replacement	Refurbishment	Operational energy use	Operational water use	Deconstruction demolition	Transport	Waste processing	Disposal	Reuse, Recovery and/or Recycling potential
☑	☑	☑	☑	☑	☑	☑	☑	☑	☑	☑	☑	☑	☑	☑	☑	☑

Note: Ticks indicate the Information Modules declared.

Manufacturing sites

ALPA Acierie et Laminoirs de Paris
ZI Limay Porcheville
78440 Gargenville
France

Bastuğ Metalurji A.Ş.
Organize Sanayi Bölgesi
Mustafa Bastuğ Caddesi No: 8
Toprakkale
Osmaniye
Turkey

Diler Demir Celik Endustri ve Ticaret A.S.
Dilovasi Organize Sanayi Bölgesi
1. Kisim, Dicle Cd. No: 30
Dilovasi, Kocaeli 41455
Turkey

Ekinciler Demir ve Çelik San. A.Ş.
Organize Sanayi Bölgesi
PK 240 Sarıseki
31200 İskenderun
Hatay
Turkey

HABAŞ A.Ş.
Sanayi Caddesi No:26
35800 Bozköy, Aliağa
İzmir
Turkey

İCDAŞ Çelik Enerji Tersane ve Ulaşım Sanayi A.Ş.
Degirmencik Köyü
17950 Biga
Çanakkale
Turkey

İzmir Demir Çelik Sanayi A.Ş.
Nemrut Caddesi No.2
Horozgedigi Koyu
35807 Aliaga
Izmir
Turkey

Kaptan Demir Celik Endustrisi ve Ticaret A.S.
Seymen Yolu 4. km
Marmara Ereglisi
Tekirdag
Turkey

Kroman Çelik Sanayii A.Ş.
Emek Mah. Aşıroğlu Cad. No: 155
41700 Darıca
Kocaeli
Turkey

Megasa Siderúrgica SL
Ctra. Castilla 802-820
15572 Narón
La Coruña
Spain

SN Maia - Siderurgia Nacional, S.A.
Fabrica da Maia
4425 S. Pedro de Fins
Maia
Portugal

SN Seixal - Siderurgia Nacional, S.A.
Aldeia de Paio Pires
2840 Seixal
Portugal

Yazıcı Demir Çelik San. ve Turizm Tic. A.Ş.
Organize Sanayi Bölgesi
PK 61 Sarıseki, İskenderun
Hatay
Turkey

Yeşilyurt Demir Çelik Endüstri ve Liman İşletmeleri A.Ş.
Cumhuriyet Mahallesi
Akal Sokak, No:3
Tekkeköy
Samsun 55300
Turkey

Construction Product:

Product Description

Reinforcing steel bar (according to product standards listed in Sources of Additional Information) that is obtained from scrap, melted in an Electric Arc Furnace (EAF) followed by hot rolling.

The declared unit is 1 tonne of carbon steel reinforcing bars as used within concrete structures for a commercial building.

Technical Information

Property	Value, Unit
Production route	EAF
Density	7850 kg/m^3
Modulus of elasticity	200000 N/mm^2
Weldability (Ceq)	max 0.50 %
Yield strength (as per BS 4449:2005+A3:2016)	min 500 N/mm^2 – max 650 N/mm^2
Tensile strength (as per BS 4449:2005+A3:2016)	min 540 N/mm^2 (Tensile strength/Yield Strength ≥ 1.08)
Agt (% total elongation at maximum force as per BS 4449:2005+A3:2016)	min 5 %
Surface geometry (Relative rib area, f_R as per BS 4449:2005+A3:2016)	min 0.040 for Bar Size >6mm & ≤12mm & min 0.056 for Bar size>12
Re-bend test (as per BS 4449:2005+A3:2016)	Pass
Fatigue test (as per BS 4449:2005+A3:2016)	Pass
Recycled content (as per ISO 14021:2016/Amd:2021) (Production weighted average)	97.8 %

Main Product Contents

Material/Chemical Input	%
Fe	97
C, Mn, Si, V, Ni, Cu, Cr, Mo and others	3

Manufacturing Process

Scrap metal is melted in an electric arc furnace to obtain liquid steel. This is then refined to remove impurities and alloying additions can be added to give the required properties.

Hot metal (molten steel) from the EAF is then cast into steel billets before being sent to the rolling mill where they are rolled and shaped to the required dimensions for the finished bars and coils of reinforcing steel.

The products are packed with steel wire or straps to bind the products, either of the steel ties and products do not include any biogenic materials.

Process flow diagram



Construction Installation

Processing and proper use of reinforcing steel products depends on the application and should be made in accordance with generally accepted practices, standards and manufacturing recommendations.
During transport and storage of reinforcing steel steel products the usual requirement for securing loads is to be observed.

Use Information

The composition of the reinforcing steel products does not change during use.
Reinforcing steel products do not cause adverse health effects under normal conditions of use.
No risks to the environment and living organisms are known to result from the mechanical destruction of the reinforcing steel product itself.

End of Life

Reinforcing steel products are not reused at end of life but can be recycled to the same (or higher/lower) quality of steel depending upon the metallurgy and processing of the recycling route.

It is a high value resource, so efforts are made to recycle steel scrap rather than disposing of it at EoL. A recycling rate of 92% is typical for reinforcing reinforcing steel products

Life Cycle Assessment Calculation Rules

Declared unit description

The declared unit is 1 tonne of carbon steel reinforcing bars manufactured by the secondary (scrap-based) production route as used within concrete structures for a commercial building (i.e. 1 tonne in use, accounting for losses during fabrication and installation, not 1 tonne as produced).

System boundary

The system boundary of the EPD follows the modular design defined by EN 15804+A2. This is a cradle to gate – with all options EPD and thus covers all modules from A1 to C4 and includes module D as well. Impacts and aspects related to losses/wastage (i.e. production, transport and waste processing and end-of-life stage of lost waste products and materials) are considered in the modules in which the losses/wastage occur. Once steel scrap has been collected for recycling it is considered to have reached the end of waste state.

Data sources, quality and allocation

Data Sources: This is a Trade Association EPD, a declaration of a specific product originating from several plants of several manufacturers. Production data has been supplied by 14 members of UK CARES.

The selection of the background data for electricity generation is in line with the BRE Global PCR. Country or region specific power grid mixes are selected from GaBi 2021 databases (Sphera 2021). Consumption grid mix of Turkey was selected for members located in Turkey and country specific residual grid mixes selected for members located in France, Spain and Portugal.

Data Quality: Data quality can be described as good. Background data are consistently sourced from the GaBi 2021 databases (Sphera 2021). The primary data collection was thorough, considering all relevant flows and these data have been verified by UK CARES.
Data quality level and criteria of the UN Environment Global Guidance on LCA database development:

Geographical Representativeness	: Good
Technical Representativeness	: Very good
Time Representativeness	: Good

Allocation: EAF slag and mill scale are produced as co-products from the steel manufacturing process. Impacts are allocated between the steel, the slag and the mill scale based on economic value. The total of the revenue generated from both mill scale and EAF slag is less than 1% in relation to the product based on current market prices, these co-products are of definite value and are freely/readily traded in reality. For this reason, economic allocation has been applied to the processes where these co-products arise.

Production losses of steel during the production process are recycled in a closed loop offsetting the requirement for external scrap. Specific information on allocation within the background data is given in the GaBi datasets documentation (/GaBi 6 2021/)

Cut-off criteria

On the input side all flows entering the system and comprising more than 1% in total mass or contributing more than 1% to primary energy consumption are considered. All inputs used as well as all process-specific waste and process emissions were assessed. For this reason, material streams which were below 1% (by mass) were captured as well. In this manner the cut-off criteria according to the BRE guidelines are fulfilled. The mass of steel wire or strand used for binding the product is less than 1 % of the total mass of the product.

LCA Results

(MND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

Parameters describing environmental impacts									
			GWP-total	GWP-fossil	GWP-biogenic	GWP-luluc	ODP	AP	EP-freshwater
			kg CO_2 eq	kg CO_2 eq	kg CO_2 eq	kg CO_2 eq	kg CFC11 eq	mol H^+ eq	kg $(PO_4)^{3-}$ eq
Product stage	Raw material supply	A1	2.67E+02	2.67E+02	-0.555	0.075	8.07E-07	1.109	2.07E-04
	Transport	A2	58.5	58.4	0.069	0.021	6.72E-15	2.14	1.98E-05
	Manufacturing	A3	461.3	460.4	0.725	0.191	1.61E-12	3.90	2.75E-04
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	7.87E+02	7.86E+02	0.239	0.287	8.07E-07	7.15	5.01E-04
Construction process stage	Transport	A4	16.8	16.7	-0.021	0.137	2.14E-15	0.049	4.97E-05
	Construction	A5	89.8	89.7	0.037	0	8.03E-08	0.833	7.04E-05
Use stage	Use	B1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Maintenance	B2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Repair	B3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Replacement	B4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Refurbishment	B5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational energy use	B6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational water use	B7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
%92 Recycling / %8 Landfill Scenario									
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	2.15	2.15	0.003	4.93E-05	2.48E-16	0.003	4.10E-07
	Transport	C2	40.6	40.3	-0.046	0.312	5.10E-15	0.178	1.14E-04
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	1.18	1.21	-0.035	0.004	4.70E-15	0.009	2.03E-06
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	4.38E+02	4.39E+02	-0.765	0.010	-2.05E-12	1.21	7.59E-05
100% Lanfill Scenario									
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	2.15	2.15	0.003	4.93E-05	2.48E-16	0.003	4.10E-07
	Transport	C2	1.88	1.86	-0.002	0.015	2.38E-16	0.007	5.53E-06
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	14.7	15.1	-0.439	0.044	5.87E-14	0.108	2.54E-05
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	2.45E+03	2.45E+03	-4.27	0.058	-1.15E-11	6.77	4.24E-04
100% Recycling Scenario									
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	2.15	2.15	0.003	4.93E-05	2.48E-16	0.003	4.10E-07
	Transport	C2	43.9	43.6	-0.049	0.338	5.53E-15	0.192	1.23E-04
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	2.54E+02	2.55E+02	-0.444	0.006	-1.19E-12	0.703	4.40E-05

GWP-total = Global warming potential, total;
GWP-fossil = Global warming potential, fossil;
GWP-biogenic = Global warming potential, biogenic;
GWP-luluc = Global warming potential, land use and land use change;

ODP = Depletion potential of the stratospheric ozone layer;
AP = Acidification potential, accumulated exceedance; and
EP-freshwater = Eutrophication potential, fraction of nutrients reaching freshwater end compartment

LCA Results (continued)

(MND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

Parameters describing environmental impacts									
			EP-marine	EP-terrestrial	POCP	ADP-mineral& metals	ADP-fossil	WDP	PM
			kg N eq	mol N eq	kg NMVOC eq	kg Sb eq	MJ, net calorific value	m^3 world eq deprived	disease incidence
Product stage	Raw material supply	A1	0.547	1.88	0.531	1.99E-05	2.47E+03	18.6	1.27E-05
	Transport	A2	0.547	5.99	1.53	1.87E-06	7.10E+02	0.162	3.57E-05
	Manufacturing	A3	0.331	3.61	1.10	3.56E-05	6.04E+03	2.02E+02	3.53E-05
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	1.42	11.5	3.16	5.73E-05	9.23E+03	2.20E+02	8.37E-05
Construction process stage	Transport	A4	0.022	0.248	0.044	1.27E-06	223	0.145	2.72E-07
	Construction	A5	0.121	1.33	0.358	7.05E-06	1.08E+03	28.0	9.35E-06
Use stage	Use	B1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Maintenance	B2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Repair	B3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Replacement	B4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Refurbishment	B5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational energy use	B6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational water use	B7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
%92 Recycling / %8 Landfill Scenario									
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.001	0.013	0.003	7.01E-08	28.3	0.005	1.89E-08
	Transport	C2	0.085	0.940	0.179	2.97E-06	536	0.334	1.39E-06
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0.002	0.025	0.007	1.14E-07	16.0	0.130	1.07E-07
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0.252	2.73	0.842	-9.40E-06	3.20E+03	-9.02	1.58E-05
100% Lanfill Scenario									
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.001	0.013	0.003	7.01E-08	28.3	0.005	1.89E-08
	Transport	C2	0.003	0.035	0.006	1.42E-07	24.8	0.016	3.43E-08
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0.028	0.307	0.085	1.43E-06	201	1.62	1.34E-06
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	1.41	15.2	4.70	-5.24E-05	1.79E+04	-50.4	8.85E-05
100% Recycling Scenario									
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.001	0.013	0.003	7.01E-08	28.3	0.005	1.89E-08
	Transport	C2	0.092	1.02	0.179	3.22E-06	581	0.362	1.50E-06
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0.007	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0.146	1.58	0.842	-5.45E-06	1.86E+03	-5.23	9.19E-06

EP-marine = Eutrophication potential, fraction of nutrients reaching marine end compartment;
EP-terrestrial = Eutrophication potential, accumulated exceedance;
POCP = Formation potential of tropospheric ozone;
ADP-mineral&metals = Abiotic depletion potential for non-fossil resources;
ADP-fossil = Depletion potential of the stratospheric ozone layer;
WDP = Water (user) deprivation potential, deprivation-weighted water consumption; and
PM = Particulate matter.

LCA Results (continued)

(MND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

Parameters describing environmental impacts							
			IRP	ETP-fw	HTP-c	HTP-nc	SQP
			kBq U^{235} eq	CTUe	CTUh	CTUh	dimensionless
Product stage	Raw material supply	A1	3.78	2.07E-04	9.21E-08	2.82E-06	2.44E+02
	Transport	A2	0.113	1.98E-05	9.62E-09	4.53E-07	13.4
	Manufacturing	A3	16.7	2.75E-04	7.45E-08	3.32E-06	6.27E+02
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	20.6	5.01E-04	1.76E-07	6.60E-06	8.84E+02
Construction process stage	Transport	A4	0.039	4.97E-05	3.25E-09	1.89E-07	76.5
	Construction	A5	2.58	7.04E-05	1.46E-08	7.77E-07	1.31E+02
Use stage	Use	B1	0	0	0	0	0
	Maintenance	B2	0	0	0	0	0
	Repair	B3	0	0	0	0	0
	Replacement	B4	0	0	0	0	0
	Refurbishment	B5	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational energy use	B6	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational water use	B7	0	0	0	0	0
%92 Recycling / %8 Landfill Scenario							
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.004	4.10E-07	5.02E-10	1.63E-08	0.077
	Transport	C2	0.092	1.14E-04	7.79E-09	4.56E-07	174
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0.018	2.03E-06	1.35E-09	1.49E-07	3.24
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-5.02	7.59E-05	6.97E-07	2.38E-06	-2.62E+02
100% Lanfill Scenario							
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.004	4.10E-07	5.02E-10	1.63E-08	0.077
	Transport	C2	0.004	5.53E-06	3.61E-10	2.14E-08	8.51
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0.221	2.54E-05	1.69E-08	1.86E-06	40.5
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-28.0	4.24E-04	3.89E-06	1.33E-05	-1.46E+03
100% Recycling Scenario							
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.004	4.10E-07	5.02E-10	1.63E-08	0.077
	Transport	C2	0.100	1.23E-04	8.44E-09	4.94E-07	189
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-2.91	4.40E-05	4.04E-07	1.38E-06	-1.52E+02

IRP = Potential human exposure efficiency relative to U235;
ETP-fw = Potential comparative toxic unit for ecosystems;
HTP-c = Potential comparative toxic unit for humans;
HTP-nc = Potential comparative toxic unit for humans; and
SQP = Potential soil quality index.

LCA Results (continued)

Parameters describing resource use, primary energy								
			PERE	PERM	PERT	PENRE	PENRM	PENRT
			MJ	MJ	MJ	MJ	MJ	MJ
Product stage	Raw material supply	A1	1.79E+02	0	1.79E+02	2.48E+03	0	2.48E+03
	Transport	A2	4.73392961	0	4.73392961	7.11E+02	0	7.11E+02
	Manufacturing	A3	1.56E+03	0	1.56E+03	6.04E+03	0	6.04E+03
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	1.75E+03	0	1.75E+03	9.23E+03	0	9.23E+03
Construction process stage	Transport	A4	12.4	0	12.4	2.23E+02	0	2.23E+02
	Construction	A5	2.25E+02	0	2.25E+02	1.08E+03	0	1.08E+03
Use stage	Use	B1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Maintenance	B2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Repair	B3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Replacement	B4	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Refurbishment	B5	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational energy use	B6	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational water use	B7	0	0	0	0	0	0
%92 Recycling / %8 Landfill Scenario								
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.098	0	0.098	28.3	0	28.3
	Transport	C2	28.4	0	28.4	537	0	537
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	2.16	0	2.16	16.1	0	16.1
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-4.08E+02	0	-4.08E+02	3.24E+03	0	3.24E+03
100% Landfill Scenario								
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.098	0	0.098	28.3	0	28.3
	Transport	C2	1.38	0	1.38	24.8	0	24.8
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	27.0	0	27.0	201	0	201
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-2.28E+03	0	-2.28E+03	1.81E+04	0	1.81E+04
100% Recycling Scenario								
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.098	0	0.098	28.3	0	28.3
	Transport	C2	30.7	0	30.7	582	0	582
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-2.37E+02	0	-2.37E+02	1.88E+03	0	1.88E+03

PERE = Use of renewable primary energy excluding renewable primary energy used as raw materials;
PERM = Use of renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials;
PERT = Total use of renewable primary energy resources;
PENRE = Use of non-renewable primary energy excluding non-renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials;
PENRM = Use of non-renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials;
PENRT = Total use of non-renewable primary energy resource

LCA Results (continued)

Parameters describing resource use, secondary materials and fuels, use of water						
			SM	RSF	NRSF	FW
			kg	MJ net calorific value	MJ net calorific value	m^3
Product stage	Raw material supply	A1	0	0	0	18.6
	Transport	A2	0	0	0	0.162
	Manufacturing	A3	-1.14E+03	0	0	2.02E+02
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	-1.14E+03	0	0	2.20E+02
Construction process stage	Transport	A4	0	0	0	0.145
	Construction	A5	0	0	0	28.0
Use stage	Use	B1	0	0	0	0
	Maintenance	B2	0	0	0	0
	Repair	B3	0	0	0	0
	Replacement	B4	0	0	0	0
	Refurbishment	B5	0	0	0	0
	Operational energy use	B6	0	0	0	0
	Operational water use	B7	0	0	0	0
%92 Recycling / %8 Landfill Scenario						
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	0	0	0.005
	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0.334
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0.130
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	2.22E+02	0	0	-9.02
100% Landfill Scenario						
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	0	0	0.005
	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0.016
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	1.62
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	1.14E+03	0	0	-50.4
100% Recycling Scenario						
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	0	0	0.005
	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0.362
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	1.38E+02	0	0	-5.23

SM = Use of secondary material;
RSF = Use of renewable secondary fuels;
NRSF = Use of non-renewable secondary fuels;
FW = Net use of fresh water

LCA Results (continued)

Other environmental information describing waste categories					
			HWD	NHWD	RWD
			kg	kg	kg
Product stage	Raw material supply	A1	1.02E-07	2.40	0.037
	Transport	A2	7.19E-09	0.073	7.98E-04
	Manufacturing	A3	9.69E-07	74.5	0.095
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	1.08E-06	76.9	0.133
Construction process stage	Transport	A4	1.12E-08	0.033	2.70E-04
	Construction	A5	1.34E-07	17.5	0.016
Use stage	Use	B1	0	0	0
	Maintenance	B2	0	0	0
	Repair	B3	0	0	0
	Replacement	B4	0	0	0
	Refurbishment	B5	0	0	0
	Operational energy use	B6	0	0	0
	Operational water use	B7	0	0	0
%92 Recycling / %8 Landfill Scenario					
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	2.42E-10	0.006	3.10E-05
	Transport	C2	2.58E-08	0.078	6.46E-04
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	1.70E-09	80.1	1.68E-04
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-3.93E-07	6.35	-0.053
100% Landfill Scenario					
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	2.42E-10	0.006	3.10E-05
	Transport	C2	1.25E-09	0.004	3.00E-05
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	2.13E-08	1.00E+03	0.002
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-2.19E-06	35.5	-0.294
100% Recycling Scenario					
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	2.42E-10	0.006	3.10E-05
	Transport	C2	2.79E-08	0.085	6.99E-04
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-2.28E-07	3.68	-0.031

HWD = Hazardous waste disposed;
NHWD = Non-hazardous waste disposed;
RWD = Radioactive waste disposed

LCA Results (continued)

Other environmental information describing output flows – at end of life								
			CRU	MFR	MER	EE	Biogenic carbon (product)	Biogenic carbon (packaging)
			kg	kg	kg	MJ per energy carrier	kg C	kg C
Product stage	Raw material supply	A1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Transport	A2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Manufacturing	A3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction process stage	Transport	A4	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Construction	A5	0	-18.8	0	0	0	0
Use stage	Use	B1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Maintenance	B2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Repair	B3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Replacement	B4	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Refurbishment	B5	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational energy use	B6	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational water use	B7	0	0	0	0	0	0
%92 Recycling / %8 Landfill Scenario								
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	-920	0	0	0	0
	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0	0	0	0	0	0
100% Landfill Scenario								
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0	0	0	0	0	0
100% Recycling Scenario								
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	-1.00E+03	0	0	0	0
	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0	0	0	0	0	0

CRU = Components for reuse;
MFR = Materials for recycling

MER = Materials for energy recovery;
EE = Exported Energy

Scenarios and additional technical information

Scenarios and additional technical information			
Scenario	Parameter	Units	Results
A4 – Transport to the building site	On leaving the steelworks the reinforcing steel products are transported to a fabricator where they are converted into constructional steel forms suitable for the installation site, then transported on to the construction site, including provision of all materials and products. Road transport distance for rolled steel to fabricators and road transport distance for steel construction forms to site are assumed to be 100 km and 250 km, respectively. Only the one-way distance is considered as it is assumed that the logistics companies will optimise their distribution and not return empty in modules beyond A3.		
	Truck trailer - Fuel	litre/km	1.56
	Distance	km	350
	Capacity utilisation (incl. empty returns)	%	85
	Bulk density of transported products	kg/m^3	7850
A5 – Installation in the building	The fabrication process is a relatively simple unit process and accounts for the transformation of the rolled steel product into construction steel forms. The operations in this unit process are primarily cutting and welding. As such, other inputs to the process include electricity, thermal energy, and cutting gases. Other outputs of this process are steel scrap and wastewater (where applicable). Fabrication into structural steel products and installation in the building; including provision of all materials, products, and energy, as well as waste processing up to the end-of-waste state or disposal of final residues during the construction stage. Installation of the fabricated product into the building is assumed to result in 10% wastage (determined based on typical installation losses reported by the WRAP Net Waste Tool [WRAP 2017]). It is assumed that fabrication requires 15.34 kWh/tonne finished product, and that there is a 2% wastage associated with this process.		
	Ancillary materials for installation - Waste material from fabrication, losses per tonne of construction steel forms	%	2
	Energy Use - Energy per tonne required to fabricate construction steel forms	kWh	15.34
	Waste materials from installation wastage	%	10
B2 – Maintenance	No maintenance required		
B3 – Repair	No repair process required		
B4 – Replacement	No replacement considerations required		
B5 – Refurbishment	No refurbishment process required		
Reference service life	Reinforcing steel products are used in the main building structure so the reference service life will equal the lifetime of the building. The Concrete Society follows the definitions provided in BS EN 1990, which specifies “building structures and other common structures” as having a lifetime of 50 years (The Concrete Society, n.d.; BSI, 2005). On this basis, the RSL for this EPD is assumed to be 50 years.		
B6 – Use of energy; B7 – Use of water	No water or energy required during use stage related to the operation of the building		

C1 to C4 End of life,	The end-of-life stage starts when the construction product is replaced, dismantled or deconstructed from the building or construction works and does not provide any further function. The recovered steel is transported for recycling while a small portion is assumed to be unrecoverable and remains in the rubble which is sent to landfill. 92% of the reinforcing steel is assumed to be recycled and 8% is sent to landfill [STEELCONSTRUCTION.INFO 2012]. Once steel scrap is generated through the deconstruction activities on the demolition site it is considered to have reached the “end of waste” state. No further processing is required so there are no impacts associated with this module. Hence no impacts are reported in module C3.		
	Waste for recycling - Recovered steel from crushed concrete	%	92
	Waste for energy recovery - Energy recovery is not considered for this study as most end of life steel scrap is recycled, while the remainder is landfilled	-	-
	Waste for final disposal - Unrecoverable steel lost in crushed concrete and sent to landfill	%	8
	Portion of energy assigned to rebar from energy required to demolish building, per tonne	MJ	24
	Transport to waste processing by Truck - Fuel consumption	litre/km	1.56
	Transport to waste processing by Truck – Distance	km	463
	Transport to waste processing by Truck – Capacity utilisation	%	85
	Transport to waste processing by Truck – Density of Product	kg/m^3	7850
	Transport to waste processing by Container ship - Fuel consumption	litre/km	0.0041
	Transport to waste processing by Container ship - Distance	km	158
	Transport to waste processing by Container ship – Capacity utilisation	%	50
	Transport to waste processing by Container ship – Density of Product	kg/m^3	7850
Module D	It is assumed that 92% of the steel used in the structure is recovered for recycling, while the remainder is landfilled. “Benefits and loads beyond the system boundary” (module D) accounts for the environmental benefits and loads resulting from net steel scrap that is used as raw material in the EAF and that is collected for recycling at end of life. The balance between total scrap arisings recycled from fabrication, installation and end of life and scrap consumed by the manufacturing process (internally sourced scrap is not included in this calculation). These benefits and loads are calculated by including the burdens of recycling and the benefit of avoided primary production. This study is concerned with the secondary production route and more scrap is required as input to the system than is recovered at end of life. The net effect of this is that module D mainly models the burdens associated with the scrap input (secondary material) to the steelmaking process. The resulting scrap credit/burden is calculated based on the global “value of scrap” approach (/worldsteel 2011).		
	Recycled Content	kg	978
	Re-used Content	kg	0
	Recovered for recycling	kg	920
	Recovered for re-use	kg	0
	Recovered for energy	kg	0

Variability Analysis of the LCA Results (minimum and maximum values)

(MND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

Parameters describing environmental impacts								
			GWP-total		GWP-fossil		GWP-biogenic	
			min	max	min	max	min	max
			kg CO_2 eq	kg CO_2 eq	kg CO_2 eq	kg CO_2 eq	kg CO_2 eq	kg CO_2 eq
Product stage	Raw material supply	A1	1.44E+02	4.84E+02	1.44E+02	4.84E+02	-1.48	0.004
	Transport	A2	5.71	113	5.67	112	-0.006	0.142
	Manufacturing	A3	143	590	143	588	0.142	1.04
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	3.09E+02	9.51E+02	3.10E+02	9.50E+02	-1.30	0.911
Construction process stage	Transport	A4	16.8	16.8	16.7	16.7	-0.021	-0.021
	Construction	A5	33.3	107	33.4	107	-0.132	0.106
Use stage	Use	B1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Maintenance	B2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Repair	B3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Replacement	B4	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Refurbishment	B5	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational energy use	B6	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational water use	B7	0	0	0	0	0	0
%92 Recycling / %8 Landfill Scenario								
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	2.15	2.15	2.15	2.15	0.003	0.003
	Transport	C2	40.6	40.6	40.3	40.3	-0.046	-0.046
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	1.18	1.18	1.21	1.21	-0.035	-0.035
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	200	592	200	593	-1.03	-0.350
100% Lanfill Scenario								
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	2.15	2.15	2.15	2.15	0.003	0.003
	Transport	C2	1.88	1.88	1.86	1.86	-0.002	-0.002
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	14.7	14.7	15.1	15.1	-0.439	-0.439
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	2.21E+03	2.60E+03	2.21E+03	2.60E+03	-4.54	-3.86
100% Recycling Scenario								
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	2.15	2.15	2.15	2.15	0.003	0.003
	Transport	C2	43.9	43.9	43.6	43.6	-0.049	-0.049
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	25.5	417	2.55E+01	418	-0.728	-0.045

GWP-total = Global warming potential, total;
GWP-fossil = Global warming potential, fossil;
GWP-biogenic = Global warming potential, biogenic;

Variability Analysis of the LCA Results (minimum and maximum values) (continued)

(MND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

Parameters describing environmental impacts								
			GWP-luluc		ODP		AP	
			min	max	min	max	min	max
			kg CO_2 eq	kg CO_2 eq	kg CFC11 eq	kg CFC11 eq	mol H^+ eq	mol H^+ eq
Product stage	Raw material supply	A1	0.032	0.208	2.29E-13	1.25E-06	0.602	1.66
	Transport	A2	0.010	0.079	7.32E-16	1.16E-14	0.027	4.25
	Manufacturing	A3	0.021	0.255	1.01E-12	3.46E-12	0.171	5.57
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	0.109	0.374	1.94E-12	1.25E-06	1.19	9.58
Construction process stage	Transport	A4	0.137	0.137	2.14E-15	2.14E-15	0.049	0.049
	Construction	A5	0	0	3.16E-13	1.24E-07	0.133	1.09
Use stage	Use	B1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Maintenance	B2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Repair	B3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Replacement	B4	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Refurbishment	B5	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational energy use	B6	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational water use	B7	0	0	0	0	0	0
%92 Recycling / %8 Landfill Scenario								
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	4.93E-05	4.93E-05	2.48E-16	2.48E-16	0.003	0.003
	Transport	C2	0.312	0.312	5.10E-15	5.10E-15	0.178	0.178
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0.004	0.004	4.70E-15	4.70E-15	0.009	0.009
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0.005	0.014	-2.77E-12	-9.38E-13	0.55	1.64
100% Lanfill Scenario								
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	4.93E-05	4.93E-05	2.48E-16	2.48E-16	0.003	0.003
	Transport	C2	0.015	0.015	2.38E-16	2.38E-16	0.007	0.007
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0.044	0.044	5.87E-14	5.87E-14	0.108	0.108
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0.052	0.061	-1.22E-11	-1.04E-11	6.11	7.20
100% Recycling Scenario								
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	4.93E-05	4.93E-05	2.48E-16	2.48E-16	0.003	0.003
	Transport	C2	0.338	0.338	5.53E-15	5.53E-15	0.192	0.192
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0.001	0.010	-1.95E-12	-1.19E-13	0.071	1.150

GWP-luluc = Global warming potential, land use and land use change;
ODP = Depletion potential of the stratospheric ozone layer;
AP = Acidification potential, accumulated exceedance

Variability Analysis of the LCA Results (minimum and maximum values) (continued)

(MND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

Parameters describing environmental impacts								
			EP-freshwater		EP-marine		EP-terrestrial	
			min	max	min	max	min	max
			kg $(PO_4)^{3-}$ eq	kg $(PO_4)^{3-}$ eq	kg N eq	kg N eq	mol N eq	mol N eq
Product stage	Raw material supply	A1	8.76E-05	4.31E-04	0.010	1.08	1.00	3.25
	Transport	A2	8.15E-06	3.14E-05	0.010	1.08	0.112	11.8
	Manufacturing	A3	1.73E-04	5.65E-04	0.058	0.442	0.589	4.83
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	3.59E-04	6.81E-04	0.078	2.51	2.20	17.1
Construction process stage	Transport	A4	4.97E-05	4.97E-05	0.022	0.022	0.248	0.248
	Construction	A5	5.24E-05	9.78E-05	0.030	0.173	0.32	1.90
Use stage	Use	B1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Maintenance	B2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Repair	B3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Replacement	B4	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Refurbishment	B5	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational energy use	B6	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational water use	B7	0	0	0	0	0	0
%92 Recycling / %8 Landfill Scenario								
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	4.10E-07	4.10E-07	0.001	0.001	0.013	0.013
	Transport	C2	1.14E-04	1.14E-04	0.085	0.085	0.940	0.940
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	2.03E-06	2.03E-06	0.002	0.002	0.025	0.025
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	3.46E-05	1.02E-04	0.115	0.340	1.25	3.68
100% Lanfill Scenario								
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	4.10E-07	4.10E-07	0.001	0.001	0.013	0.013
	Transport	C2	5.53E-06	5.53E-06	0.003	0.003	0.035	0.035
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	2.54E-05	2.54E-05	0.028	0.028	0.307	0.307
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	3.82E-04	4.50E-04	1.27	1.49	13.8	16.2
100% Recycling Scenario								
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	4.10E-07	4.10E-07	0.001	0.001	0.013	0.013
	Transport	C2	1.23E-04	1.23E-04	0.092	0.092	1.02	1.02
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	4.41E-06	7.22E-05	0.015	0.240	0.159	2.60

EP-freshwater = Eutrophication potential, fraction of nutrients reaching freshwater end compartment
EP-marine = Eutrophication potential, fraction of nutrients reaching marine end compartment;
EP-terrestrial = Eutrophication potential, accumulated exceedance;

Variability Analysis of the LCA Results (minimum and maximum values) (continued)

(MND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

Parameters describing environmental impacts			POCP		ADP-mineral & metals		ADP-fossil	
			min	max	min	max	min	max
			kg NMVOC eq	kg NMVOC eq	kg Sb eq	kg Sb eq	MJ, net calorific value	MJ, net calorific value
Product stage	Raw material supply	A1	0.272	0.978	4.98E-06	1.16E-04	1.13E+03	4.41E+03
	Transport	A2	0.022	3.03	4.18E-07	3.42E-06	75.2	1.36E+03
	Manufacturing	A3	0.157	1.47	2.63E-05	6.04E-05	2.69E+03	7.94E+03
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	0.584	4.58	3.61E-05	1.58E-04	4.63E+03	1.07E+04
Construction process stage	Transport	A4	0.044	0.044	1.27E-06	1.27E-06	223	223
	Construction	A5	0.076	0.502	4.85E-06	1.70E-05	582	1.23E+03
Use stage	Use	B1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Maintenance	B2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Repair	B3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Replacement	B4	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Refurbishment	B5	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational energy use	B6	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational water use	B7	0	0	0	0	0	0
%92 Recycling / %8 Landfill Scenario								
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.003	0.003	7.01E-08	7.01E-08	28.3	28.3
	Transport	C2	0.179	0.179	2.97E-06	2.97E-06	536	536
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0.007	0.007	1.14E-07	1.14E-07	16.0	16.0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0.384	1.14	-1.27E-05	-4.29E-06	1.46E+03	4.32E+03
100% Lanfill Scenario								
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.003	0.003	7.01E-08	7.01E-08	28.3	28.3
	Transport	C2	0.006	0.006	1.42E-07	1.42E-07	24.8	24.8
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0.085	0.085	1.43E-06	1.43E-06	201	201
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	4.24	5.00	-5.57E-05	-4.73E-05	1.61E+04	1.90E+04
100% Recycling Scenario								
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.003	0.003	7.01E-08	7.01E-08	28.3	28.3
	Transport	C2	0.179	0.179	3.22E-06	3.22E-06	581	581
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0.007	0.007	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0.384	1.14	-8.93E-06	-5.46E-07	186	3.05E+03

POCP = Formation potential of tropospheric ozone;
ADP-mineral&metals = Abiotic depletion potential for non-fossil resources;
ADP-fossil = Depletion potential of the stratospheric ozone layer;

Variability Analysis of the LCA Results (minimum and maximum values) (continued)

(MND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

Parameters describing environmental impacts

			WDP		PM		IRP	
			min	max	min	max	min	max
			m^3 world eq deprived	m^3 world eq deprived	disease incidence	disease incidence	kBq U^{235} eq	kBq U^{235} eq
Product stage	Raw material supply	A1	10.6	30.3	5.91E-06	2.01E-05	1.50	8.05
	Transport	A2	0.042	0.406	2.79E-07	7.09E-05	0.015	0.216
	Manufacturing	A3	2.93	285	1.51E-06	5.04E-05	0.669	475
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	17.7	3.03E+02	1.27E-05	1.20E-04	3.29	4.79E+02
Construction process stage	Transport	A4	0.145	0.145	2.72E-07	2.72E-07	0.039	0.039
	Construction	A5	2.18	36.6	1.33E-06	1.31E-05	0.435	61.5
Use stage	Use	B1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Maintenance	B2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Repair	B3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Replacement	B4	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Refurbishment	B5	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational energy use	B6	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational water use	B7	0	0	0	0	0	0
%92 Recycling / %8 Landfill Scenario								
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.005	0.005	1.89E-08	1.89E-08	0.004	0.004
	Transport	C2	0.334	0.334	1.39E-06	1.39E-06	0.092	0.092
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0.130	0.130	1.07E-07	1.07E-07	0.018	0.018
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-12.2	-4.12	7.24E-06	2.14E-05	-6.78	-2.29
100% Lanfill Scenario								
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.005	0.005	1.89E-08	1.89E-08	0.004	0.004
	Transport	C2	0.016	0.016	3.43E-08	3.43E-08	0.004	0.004
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	1.62	1.62	1.34E-06	1.34E-06	0.221	0.221
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-53.5	-45.5	7.99E-05	9.40E-05	-29.8	-25.3
100% Recycling Scenario								
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.005	0.005	1.89E-08	1.89E-08	0.004	0.004
	Transport	C2	0.362	0.362	1.50E-06	1.50E-06	0.100	0.100
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-8.58	-0.524	9.21E-07	1.51E-05	-4.78	-0.292

WDP = Water (user) deprivation potential, deprivation-weighted water consumption;
PM = Particulate matter.
IRP = Potential human exposure efficiency relative to U235;

Variability Analysis of the LCA Results (minimum and maximum values) (continued)

(MND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

Parameters describing environmental impacts									
			ETP-fw		HTP-c		HTP-nc		
			min	max	min	max	min	max	
			CTUe	CTUe	CTUh	CTUh	CTUh	CTUh	
Product stage	Raw material supply	A1	8.76E-05	4.31E-04	1.39E-08	3.73E-07	9.19E-07	6.42E-06	
	Transport	A2	8.15E-06	3.14E-05	1.09E-09	1.84E-08	6.31E-08	8.59E-07	
	Manufacturing	A3	1.73E-04	5.65E-04	3.29E-08	9.72E-08	1.56E-06	5.57E-06	
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	3.59E-04	6.81E-04	5.70E-08	4.42E-07	2.94E-06	9.62E-06	
Construction process stage	Transport	A4	4.97E-05	4.97E-05	3.25E-09	3.25E-09	1.89E-07	1.89E-07	
	Construction	A5	5.24E-05	9.78E-05	1.41E-09	4.12E-08	3.95E-07	1.08E-06	
Use stage	Use	B1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Maintenance	B2	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Repair	B3	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Replacement	B4	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Refurbishment	B5	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Operational energy use	B6	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Operational water use	B7	0	0	0	0	0	0	
%92 Recycling / %8 Landfill Scenario									
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	4.10E-07	4.10E-07	5.02E-10	5.02E-10	1.63E-08	1.63E-08	
	Transport	C2	1.14E-04	1.14E-04	7.79E-09	7.79E-09	4.56E-07	4.56E-07	
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Disposal	C4	2.03E-06	2.03E-06	1.35E-09	1.35E-09	1.49E-07	1.49E-07	
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	3.46E-05	1.02E-04	3.18E-07	9.40E-07	1.09E-06	3.21E-06	
100% Lanfill Scenario									
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	4.10E-07	4.10E-07	5.02E-10	5.02E-10	1.63E-08	1.63E-08	
	Transport	C2	5.53E-06	5.53E-06	3.61E-10	3.61E-10	2.14E-08	2.14E-08	
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Disposal	C4	2.54E-05	2.54E-05	1.69E-08	1.69E-08	1.86E-06	1.86E-06	
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	3.82E-04	4.50E-04	3.51E-06	4.13E-06	1.20E-05	1.41E-05	
100% Recycling Scenario									
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	4.10E-07	4.10E-07	5.02E-10	5.02E-10	1.63E-08	1.63E-08	
	Transport	C2	1.23E-04	1.23E-04	8.44E-09	8.44E-09	4.94E-07	4.94E-07	
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	4.41E-06	7.22E-05	4.05E-08	6.63E-07	1.38E-07	2.26E-06	

ETP-fw = Potential comparative toxic unit for ecosystems;
HTP-c = Potential comparative toxic unit for humans;
HTP-nc = Potential comparative toxic unit for humans;

Variability Analysis of the LCA Results (minimum and maximum values) (continued)

(MND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

Parameters describing environmental impacts				
			SQP	
			min	max
			dimensionless	dimensionless
Product stage	Raw material supply	A1	98.3	568
	Transport	A2	6.00	50.9
	Manufacturing	A3	2.34E+02	4.08E+03
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	4.24E+02	4.48E+03
Construction process stage	Transport	A4	76.5	76.5
	Construction	A5	74.8	594
Use stage	Use	B1	0	0
	Maintenance	B2	0	0
	Repair	B3	0	0
	Replacement	B4	0	0
	Refurbishment	B5	0	0
	Operational energy use	B6	0	0
	Operational water use	B7	0	0
%92 Recycling / %8 Landfill Scenario				
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.077	0.077
	Transport	C2	174	174
	Waste processing	C3	0	0
	Disposal	C4	3.24	3.24
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-3.53E+02	-120
100% Lanfill Scenario				
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.077	0.077
	Transport	C2	8.51	8.51
	Waste processing	C3	0	0
	Disposal	C4	40.5	40.5
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-1.55E+03	-1.32E+03
100% Recycling Scenario				
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.077	0.077
	Transport	C2	189	189
	Waste processing	C3	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-249	-15.2

SQP = Potential soil quality index.

Variability Analysis of the LCA Results (minimum and maximum values) (continued)

(MND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

Parameters describing resource use, primary energy						
			PERE		PERT	
			min	max	min	max
			MJ	MJ	MJ	MJ
Product stage	Raw material supply	A1	86.3	461	86.3	461
	Transport	A2	1.74	12.7	1.74	12.7
	Manufacturing	A3	553	3.05E+03	553	3.05E+03
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	6.96E+02	3.14E+03	6.96E+02	3.14E+03
Construction process stage	Transport	A4	12.4	12.4	12.4	12.4
	Construction	A5	90.8	412	90.8	412
Use stage	Use	B1	0	0	0	0
	Maintenance	B2	0	0	0	0
	Repair	B3	0	0	0	0
	Replacement	B4	0	0	0	0
	Refurbishment	B5	0	0	0	0
	Operational energy use	B6	0	0	0	0
	Operational water use	B7	0	0	0	0
%92 Recycling / %8 Landfill Scenario						
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.098	0.098	0.098	0.098
	Transport	C2	28.4	28.4	28.4	28.4
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	2.16	2.16	2.16	2.16
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-5.51E+02	-186	-5.51E+02	-186
100% Lanfill Scenario						
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.098	0.098	0.098	0.098
	Transport	C2	1.38	1.38	1.38	1.38
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	27.0	27.0	27.0	27.0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-2.42E+03	-2.06E+03	-2.42E+03	-2.06E+03
100% Recycling Scenario						
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.098	0.098	0.098	0.098
	Transport	C2	30.7	30.7	30.7	30.7
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-3.88E+02	-23.7	-3.88E+02	-23.7

PERE = Use of renewable primary energy excluding renewable primary energy used as raw materials;
PERT = Total use of renewable primary energy resources;

Variability Analysis of the LCA Results (minimum and maximum values) (continued)

(MND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

Parameters describing resource use, primary energy						
			PENRE		PENRT	
			min	max	min	max
			MJ	MJ	MJ	MJ
Product stage	Raw material supply	A1	1.13E+03	4.43E+03	1.13E+03	4.43E+03
	Transport	A2	75.3	1.36E+03	75.3	1.36E+03
	Manufacturing	A3	2.69E+03	7.94E+03	2.69E+03	7.94E+03
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	4.63E+03	1.07E+04	4.63E+03	1.07E+04
Construction process stage	Transport	A4	223	223	223	223
	Construction	A5	582	1.23E+03	582	1.23E+03
Use stage	Use	B1	0	0	0	0
	Maintenance	B2	0	0	0	0
	Repair	B3	0	0	0	0
	Replacement	B4	0	0	0	0
	Refurbishment	B5	0	0	0	0
	Operational energy use	B6	0	0	0	0
	Operational water use	B7	0	0	0	0
%92 Recycling / %8 Landfill Scenario						
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3
	Transport	C2	537	537	537	537
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	16.1	16.1	16.1	16.1
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	1.48E+03	4.37E+03	1.48E+03	4.37E+03
100% Lanfill Scenario						
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3
	Transport	C2	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	201	201	201	201
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	1.63E+04	1.92E+04	1.63E+04	1.92E+04
100% Recycling Scenario						
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3
	Transport	C2	582	582	582	582
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	188	3.08E+03	188	3.08E+03

PENRE = Use of non-renewable primary energy excluding non-renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials;
PENRT = Total use of non-renewable primary energy resource

Variability Analysis of the LCA Results (minimum and maximum values) (continued)

(MND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

Parameters describing resource use, secondary materials and fuels, use of water						
			SM		FW	
			min	max	min	max
			kg	kg	m^3	m^3
Product stage	Raw material supply	A1	0	0	10.6	30.3
	Transport	A2	0	0	0.042	0.406
	Manufacturing	A3	-1.21E+03	-1.03E+03	2.93	285
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	-1.21E+03	-1.03E+03	17.7	3.03E+02
Construction process stage	Transport	A4	0	0	0.145	0.145
	Construction	A5	0	0	2.2	36.6
Use stage	Use	B1	0	0	0	0
	Maintenance	B2	0	0	0	0
	Repair	B3	0	0	0	0
	Replacement	B4	0	0	0	0
	Refurbishment	B5	0	0	0	0
	Operational energy use	B6	0	0	0	0
	Operational water use	B7	0	0	0	0
%92 Recycling / %8 Landfill Scenario						
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	0	0.005	0.005
	Transport	C2	0	0	0.334	0.334
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0.130	0.130
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	111	2.94E+02	-12.2	-4.12
100% Lanfill Scenario						
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	0	0.005	0.005
	Transport	C2	0	0	0.016	0.016
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	1.62	1.62
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	1.03E+03	1.21E+03	-53.5	-45.5
100% Recycling Scenario						
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	0	0.005	0.005
	Transport	C2	0	0	0.362	0.362
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	31	2.14E+02	-8.58	-0.524

SM = Use of secondary material
FW = Net use of fresh water

Variability Analysis of the LCA Results (minimum and maximum values) (continued)

Other environmental information describing waste categories			HWD		NHWD		RWD	
			min	max	min	max	min	max
			kg	kg	kg	kg	kg	kg
Product stage	Raw material supply	A1	5.16E-08	2.16E-07	0.684	4.95	0.016	0.076
	Transport	A2	2.75E-09	1.13E-08	0.011	0.138	9.93E-05	1.52E-03
	Manufacturing	A3	7.22E-07	1.79E-06	15.3	2.02E+02	0.009	1.85
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	7.91E-07	1.85E-06	20.3	2.03E+02	0.036	1.89
Construction process stage	Transport	A4	1.12E-08	1.12E-08	0.033	0.033	2.70E-04	2.70E-04
	Construction	A5	9.91E-08	2.40E-07	11.8	30.1	0.005	0.242
Use stage	Use	B1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Maintenance	B2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Repair	B3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Replacement	B4	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Refurbishment	B5	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational energy use	B6	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational water use	B7	0	0	0	0	0	0
%92 Recycling / %8 Landfill Scenario								
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	2.42E-10	2.42E-10	0.006	0.006	3.10E-05	3.10E-05
	Transport	C2	2.58E-08	2.58E-08	0.078	0.078	6.46E-04	6.46E-04
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	1.70E-09	1.70E-09	80.1	80.1	1.68E-04	1.68E-04
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-5.30E-07	-1.79E-07	2.90	8.57	-0.071	-0.024
100% Lanfill Scenario								
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	2.42E-10	2.42E-10	0.006	0.006	3.10E-05	3.10E-05
	Transport	C2	1.25E-09	1.25E-09	0.004	0.004	3.00E-05	3.00E-05
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	2.13E-08	2.13E-08	1.00E+03	1.00E+03	0.002	0.002
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-2.33E-06	-1.98E-06	32.0	37.7	-0.313	-0.266
100% Recycling Scenario								
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	2.42E-10	2.42E-10	0.006	0.006	3.10E-05	3.10E-05
	Transport	C2	2.79E-08	2.79E-08	0.085	0.085	6.99E-04	6.99E-04
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-3.74E-07	-2.28E-08	0.369	6.04	-0.050	-0.003

HWD = Hazardous waste disposed;
NHWD = Non-hazardous waste disposed;
RWD = Radioactive waste disposed

Summary, comments and additional information

Interpretation

The results presented in this EPD are production weighted average of 14 CARES members which produce Carbon Steel Reinforcing Bar by the secondary (scrap-based) production route. There is quite a degree of variability in the individual results across the participating sites. For this reason, the life cycle interpretation given in this section will be kept at a relatively high level and presented in terms of the general trends observed in the individual site results.

The interpretation of the results has been carried out considering the methodology- and data-related assumptions and limitations declared in the EPD. This interpretation section focuses on the environmental impact categories.

Global Warming Potential (GWP)

The majority of the life cycle GWP impact occurs in the production phase (A1-A3). A1-A3 impacts account for 83.94% overall life cycle impacts for this category. The most significant contributions to production phase impacts are: the upstream production of raw materials used in the steelmaking process, generation/supply of electricity and the production/use of fuels on site. Fabrication, installation and the end-of-life processes covered in C1-C4 make a minimal contribution to GWP. For overall climate change impacts, carbon dioxide emissions account for the majority of impacts with methane being the second most significant contributor.

Ozone Depletion Potential (ODP)

The majority of impacts are associated with the production phase (A1-3). Significant contributions to production phase impact come from the emission of ozone depleting substances during the upstream production of raw materials/pre-products as well as those arising from electricity production. Module D shows a very small credit even though scrap burdens are being assessed in this phase. This is explained because ODP emissions are linked to grid electricity production used.

Acidification Potential (AP)

Acidification potential is generally driven by the production of sulphur dioxide and nitrogen oxides through the combustion of fossil fuels, particularly coal and crude oil products. The majority of the lifecycle AP impact occurs in the production phase (A1-A3), similar to GWP. The major contributors to production phase AP impacts comes from energy resources used in the production of the raw materials and pre-products for the steelmaking process and from transportation. Fabrication, installation and the end-of-life processes classed under C1-C4 make minimal contributions.

Eutrophication Potential (EP)

Eutrophication is driven by nitrogen and phosphorus containing emissions and as with GWP and AP is often strongly linked with the use of fossil fuels. The major eutrophication impacts occur in the production phase (A1-A3). Significant contributions to production phase impact comes from the production of raw materials and transport. Fabrication, installation and the end-of-life processes classed under C1-C4 again make minimal contributions.

Photochemical Ozone Creation Potential (POCP)

POCP tends to be driven by emissions of carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxides (NOx), sulphur dioxide and NMVOCs. The production phase is the dominant phase of the lifecycle with regards to POCP impacts. Again, these are all emissions commonly associated with the combustion of fuels. Significant contributors to POCP are the upstream production of raw materials/pre-products and transport, directly linked to fossil fuel combustion. It should be noted that the impacts for steel recycling in module D is almost of the same magnitude as the production phase impacts.



	GWP - Total	ODP	AP	EP (freshwater)	EP (marine)	EP (terrestrial)	POCP
A1-3	83.94%	90.95%	86.97%	67.93%	86.01%	81.80%	84.24%
A4	1.79%	0.00%	0.59%	6.74%	1.34%	1.77%	1.17%
A5	9.58%	9.05%	10.12%	9.55%	7.33%	9.47%	9.54%
C1	0.23%	0.00%	0.04%	0.06%	0.07%	0.09%	0.09%
C2	4.33%	0.00%	2.16%	15.45%	5.11%	6.70%	4.78%
C3	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
C4	0.13%	0.00%	0.10%	0.28%	0.14%	0.18%	0.18%

Figure 1 - shows the relative contribution of each life cycle stage to different environmental indicators for the carbon steel reinforcing bars manufactured by the Direct Reduced Iron production route

References

BSI. Sustainability of construction works – Environmental product declarations – Core rules for the product category of construction products. BS EN 15804:2012+A2:2019. London, BSI, 2019.

BSI. Environmental labels and declarations – Type III Environmental declarations – Principles and procedures. BS EN ISO 14025:2010 (exactly identical to ISO 14025:2006). London, BSI, 2010.

BSI. Environmental management – Life cycle assessment – Principles and framework.
BS EN ISO BS EN ISO 14040:2006+A1:2020. London, BSI, 2020.

BSI. Environmental management – Life cycle assessment – requirements and guidelines.
BS EN ISO 14044:2006+A2:2020. London, BSI, 2020.

Demolition Energy Analysis of Office Building Structural Systems, Athena Sustainable Materials Institute, 1997

Sphera Solutions GmbH; GaBi Software System and Database for Life Cycle Engineering, Sphera Solution GmbH, Leinfelden-Echterdingen, 2021.

GaBi 10, Content Version 2021.2: Documentation of GaBi 10, Content Version 2021.2: Software-System and Database for Life Cycle Engineering. Copyright, TM. Stuttgart, Echterdingen, 2021.
(http://documentation.gabi-software.com/)

International Energy Agency, Energy Statistics 2013. http://www.iea.org

Kreißig, J. und J. Kümmel (1999): Baustoff-Ökobilanzen. Wirkungsabschätzung und Auswertung in der Steine-Erden-Industrie. Hrsg. Bundesverband Baustoffe Steine + Erden e.V.

U,S, Geological Survey, Mineral Commodity Summaries, Iron and Steel Slag, January 2014

SteelConstruction.info; The recycling and reuse survey, 2012
http://www.steelconstruction.info/The_recycling_and_reuse_survey

Sustainability of construction works - Environmental product declarations - Methodology for selection and use of generic data; German version CEN/TR 15941

REGULATION (EU) No 305/2011 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 9 March 2011 laying down harmonised conditions for the marketing of construction products and repealing Council Directive 89/106/EEC

CARES SCS Sustainable Constructional Steel Scheme v9 – Operational assessment schedule - https://www.carescertification.com/certified-companies/search.

CARES SRC Steel for the Reinforcement of Concrete Scheme. Appendix 1 – Quality and operations assessment schedule for carbon steel bars for the reinforcement of concrete including inspection and testing requirements - https://www.carescertification.com/certified-companies/search

CARES SRC Steel for the Reinforcement of Concrete Scheme. Appendix 1-N - Quality and operations assessment schedule for carbon steel bars for the reinforcement of concrete for use in nuclear applications and other mega projects including inspection and testing requirements - https://www.carescertification.com/certified-companies/search

CARES SRC Steel for the Reinforcement of Concrete Scheme. Appendix CP&AS 20 – CARES Quality and operations assessment schedule for ASTM carbon and low alloy steel bars and coils for the reinforcement of concrete including inspection and testing requirements - https://www.carescertification.com/certified-companies/search

CARES SRC Steel for the Reinforcement of Concrete Scheme. Appendix CP&AS 23 - Quality and operations assessment schedule for ISO 6935-2:2015 weldable and non-weldable ribbed bars, coils and decoiled steel products for the reinforcement of concrete including inspection and testing requirements - https://www.carescertification.com/certified-companies/search

CARES SRC Steel for the Reinforcement of Concrete Scheme. Appendix CP&AS 24 - Quality and operations assessment schedule for Hong Kong Standard (CS2:2012) Steel Reinforcing Bars for the Reinforcement of Concrete- https://www.carescertification.com/certified-companies/search

CARES SSRC Singapore Steel for the Reinforcement of Concrete Scheme - Appendix 1 Quality and operations assessment schedule for Singapore Standard (SS 560:2016) weldable reinforcing steel bars, coils and decoiled products for the reinforcement of concrete including inspection and testing requirements - https://www.carescertification.com/certified-companies/search

BS 4449:2005+A3:2016 Steel for the reinforcement of concrete. Weldable reinforcing steel. Bar, coil and decoiled product. Specification.

ASTM A615/A615M – 22 Standard Specification for Deformed and Plain Carbon-Steel Bars for Concrete Reinforcement.

ASTM A706/A706M – 22 - Standard Specification for Deformed and Plain Low-Alloy Steel Bars for Concrete Reinforcement.

ISO 6935-2:2019 - Steel for the reinforcement of concrete - Part 2: Ribbed bars.

CS2:2012 - Steel Reinforcing Bars for the Reinforcement of Concrete

SS 560:2016 - Specification for steel for the reinforcement of concrete – Weldable reinforcing steel – Bar, coil and decoiled product.

EN 10080:2005 Steel for the reinforcement of concrete. Weldable reinforcing steel. General

DIN 488-2:2009 - Reinforcing steels - Reinforcing steel bars.

NF A35-080-1:2020 - Aciers pour béton armé - Aciers soudables - Partie 1 : barres et couronnes.

CAN/CSA G30.18-09:2012 Carbon steel bars for concrete reinforcement.

UNE 36068:2011 - Ribbed bars of weldable steel for the reinforcement of concrete.

UNE 36065:2011- Ribbed bars of weldable steel with special characteristics of ductilityfor the reinforcement of concrete.

LNEC E449:2017- A400 NR Steel bars for reinforced concrete

LNEC E450:2017- A500 NR Steel bars for reinforced concrete

LNEC E455:2017- Special ductility A400 NR Steel bars for reinforced concrete

LNEC E460:2017- Special ductility A500 NR Steel bars for reinforced concrete

NEN 6008:2008+A1:2020 nl Steel for reinforcement of concrete

NS 3576-3:2012 - Steel for the reinforcement of concrete - Dimensions and properties - Part 3: Ribbed steel B500NC.

NBN A 24-302:1986 Siderurgique produts. Reinforcing steels

NS 3576-3:2012 - Steel for the reinforcement of concrete - Dimensions and properties - Part 3: Ribbed steel B500NC.

TS 708:2016 - Steel for the reinforcement of concrete - Reinforcing steel.

BDS 9252:2007 - Steel for the reinforcement of concrete - Weldable reinforcing steel B500.

AS NZS 4671:2019 Steel for the reinforcement of concrete

MS 146:2014 – Steel for the reinforcement of concrete – Weldable reinforcing steel – Bar, coil and decoilded product - Specification (Fourth revision)

SI 4466-3:2013 - Steel for the reinforcement of concrete: Ribbed Bars.

ABNT NBR 7480:2007 - Steel for The Reinforcement of Concrete Structures – Specification.

GOST R 52544:2006 - Weldable deformed reinforcing rolled products of A500C and B500C classes for reinforcement of concrete constructions. Specifications.

SFS 1215:1996 Reinforcing steels. Weldable hot rolled ribbed steel bars A500HW

SASO ASTM A615/A615M+A1:2018 - Standard Specification for Deformed and Plain Carbon-Steel Bars for Concrete Reinforcement

KWS GSO ISO 6935-2: 2022 – Steel for the reinforcement of concrete – Part 2: Ribbed bars

DS/INF 165:2011 -Reinforcing steel for concrete structures - Identification and classification according to EN 10080 and EN 10138

EN 1992-1-1 2004 Eurocode 2 part 3.2 and Appendix C